

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LILLIANA SANCHEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION
SOLUTIONS, INC., EQUIFAX
INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-01169-NODJ-CDB

SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)

Discovery Deadlines:

- Rule 26 Disclosures: March 19, 2024
- Amended Pleadings: March 19, 2024
- Expert Disclosures: August 2, 2024
- Rebuttal Disclosures: August 30, 2024
- Fact Discovery Cut-Off: July 19, 2024
- Expert Discovery Cut-Off: September 30, 2024
- Mid-Discovery Status Conference: June 7, 2024, at 9:30 a.m., in Bakersfield Federal Courthouse 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA 93301

Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:

- Filing: October 9, 2024
- Hearing: On November 13, 2024, at 10:30 a.m., Bakersfield Federal Courthouse

Dispositive Motion Deadlines:

- Filing: December 14, 2024
- Hearing: January 27, 2025, 1:30 p.m., in Robert E. Coyle Federal Courthouse, Fresno, Courtroom 5, 7th Floor

Pre-Trial Conference: March 10, 2025, at 1:30 p.m., in Fresno Federal Courthouse

Trial: May 5, 2025, at 8:30 a.m., in Fresno Federal Courthouse

1 Plaintiff Lilliana Sanchez initiated this action with the filing of a complaint in state court on
2 June 22, 2023. (Doc. 1-1 p. 14). Thereafter, the action was removed to this Court on August 4,
3 2023. Plaintiff raises claims against Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (“Experian”),
4 under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”).

5 The parties convened via Zoom videoconference for a scheduling conference before
6 Magistrate Judge Christopher D. Baker on January 19, 2024. Gerardo Sosa appeared on behalf of
7 Plaintiff; Amy Lopez appeared on behalf of Defendant Experian.¹

8 **I. Magistrate Judge Consent:**

9 Currently the parties do not jointly consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction.

10 **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

11 Due to the District Judges’ heavy caseload, the adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the
12 Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a
13 District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case
14 set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset.

15 Further, as of the date of entry of this scheduling order, this matter is assigned to “No District
16 Court Judge (NODJ)” until a new district judge is appointed.²

17 The Magistrate Judges’ availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
18 of the District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize criminal
19 and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A Magistrate Judge may conduct trials,
20 including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73,
21 and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the
22 United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

23 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
24

25 ¹ Because Plaintiff and Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC (“Equifax”), had
26 filed a notice of settlement (Doc. 18), counsel for Plaintiff did not oppose proceeding with the
scheduling conference notwithstanding the nonappearance of Equifax.

27 ² Contact information for the NODJ chambers and courtroom deputy can be found at
28 <https://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-judges/united-states-district-judge-nodj/>. Proposed orders for a District Judge in this case should be sent to
nodjorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

1 conduct all further proceedings, including trial, and to file a consent/decline form (provided by the
2 Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the
3 Magistrate Judge.

4 **II. Pleading Amendment**

5 Any motions to amend the pleadings or substitute “Doe” defendants must be filed by **March 19,**
6 **2024.** Filing a motion and/or stipulation requesting leave to amend the pleadings does not reflect on the
7 propriety of the amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All
8 proposed amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the
9 amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations,*
10 *Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an
11 amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in
12 bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

13 **III. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

14 Initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be exchanged by no later than
15 **March 19, 2024.**

16 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **July 19,**
17 **2024,** and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **September 30, 2024.**

18 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **August 2, 2024,**
19 and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **August 30, 2024.** The written designation of retained
20 and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and
21 shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this
22 order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts
23 that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

24 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
25 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
26 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
27 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

1 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
2 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

3 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **June 7, 2024**, at 9:30 a.m. before Judge
4 Baker. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report no later than one week
5 before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the joint status report via e-mail to
6 CDBorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint status report SHALL outline the discovery counsel have
7 completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the
8 discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel SHALL discuss settlement and certify in
9 the joint status report (1) that they have met/conferred regarding settlement, and (2) proposed dates for
10 convening a settlement conference before a U.S. Magistrate Judge.

11 **IV. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

12 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later
13 than **October 9, 2024**³ and heard on or before **November 13, 2024**, at 10:30 a.m. Discovery motions
14 shall be set before Judge Baker. For these hearings and at the direction of the Courtroom Deputy Clerk,
15 the Court may direct counsel to appear remotely (via Zoom). For hearings noticed to occur in-person,
16 the Court may permit counsel to appear remotely (via Zoom) provided the Courtroom Deputy Clerk
17 receives a written notice of the request to appear remotely no later than five court days before the
18 noticed hearing date.

19 No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is
20 filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written
21 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval Judge Baker. A party with a discovery
22 dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the
23 issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a
24 hearing with all involved parties and Judge Baker. To schedule this hearing, the parties are ordered to
25 contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at
26

27
28 ³ Non-dispositive motions related to non-expert discovery SHALL be filed within a reasonable
time of discovery of the dispute, but in no event later than 30 days after the expiration of the non-
expert discovery deadline.

SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. At least three days before the conference, counsel SHALL file informal letter briefs detailing their positions. The briefs may not exceed 7 pages, excluding exhibits. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **December 14, 2024**, and heard on **January 27, 2025**, before the assigned United States District Judge at 1:30 p.m. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

V. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party SHALL initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion, the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.

VI. Pre-Trial Conference Date

March 10, 2025, at 1:30 p.m. before the assigned United States District Judge. The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, to the assigned United

States District Judge.

Counsel's attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

VII. Trial Date

May 5, 2025, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 5 before the assigned United States District Judge.

A. This is a jury trial.

B. Counsel's Estimate of Trial Time: 2-3 days.

C. Counsel's attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

VIII. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other

Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

IX. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

X. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XI. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered

1 to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by
2 subsequent status conference.

3 **The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a**
4 **showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations**
5 **extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by**
6 **affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause**
7 **for granting the relief requested.**

8 **Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.**

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: **January 19, 2024**

11 
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE